



Eric Newman <[REDACTED]>

A book award from C4

3 messages

Jim Rosen <[REDACTED]>

Tue, Aug 19, 2014 at 10:54 PM

To: [REDACTED]

Cc: [REDACTED]

Hi Eric,

Not sure if you remember me, my name is Jim Rosen and I am president of C4. First off, I want to tell you that you have been chosen to receive two C4 Book Awards for your books on Fugio Coppers and Colonial Currency. They are going to be given at the C4 Convention Thursday night Oct. 30th. I will accept them for you and mail them to your home. The awards are Silver medals (the size of a silver dollar) engraved on the obverse with the Vermont Landscape that is the logo on our Newsletter and on the reverse will be engraved your name and the book titles.

I hope you liked my Presidential Message in this current Newsletter, as I took the liberty to discuss your collection. I feel very lucky to not only have met you and talked with you at length when you came to Boston to speak at C4 (remember, you took my hand and placed the 1776 Small date in my hand after I told you that I loved your 1957 article about it and the Interlocked Vermonts). A fabulous article that is a must read for all colonial enthusiasts. Also, I feel so lucky to have viewed your colonial coins at the May Heritage sale but also so lucky to have purchased your Large Head Nova Eborac. I am looking forward to your sale in November.

I also enjoyed greatly your article on the Continental Currency in the Numismatist this past July. I agree with your thoughts that the Continental Currency coin was minted in part to be a symbol of our independence. In John Adams notebook in the of spring 1776 he lists a number of things that needed to be accomplished in the Continental Congress and in the 6th entry he lists..."Coin and currency to be regulated", but I don't feel that Congress intended to replace the Continental Currency Dollar bill with this coinage. I feel that these coins were not intended to be regular coinage because their production was way too complicated, much too difficult as compared to production of paper currency, for making a design, producing and cutting the dies and striking coins was an expensive proposition at a time when our colonial resources were stretched very thin. It is certainly possible that when the currency printers saw this coin, they probably felt that printing \$1 bills would not be necessary. I believe that this beautiful coin was made for a specific reason and I don't think it was intended for circulation by the populace which may not have accepted them anyway (The English monarch, Henry VII, minted Testoons in 1499 that were not accepted by the citizens of England and it wasn't till Henry VIII, some 40 years later, that the shilling was accepted). I think that the Silver emissions were initially struck for foreign dignitaries to tell the world that we mean business and that we are a sovereign nation and we deserve to be independent. But as silver was scarce and brass was needed for the war effort, pewter was put into service and delivered to dignitaries, with some obviously getting into circulation possibly by congressman. Pewter canteens needed for the war effort probably put an end to this coin's continued production.

In thinking about why there is no written evidence of this coinage, I feel that probably no written documentation ever really existed. A discussion in the finance committee about the production of this coinage was tantamount to treason and since these thoughts were well before our "Declaration" (Spring of 76 per John Adams' notebook) if some written material with names on it was ever confiscated by the British, these members of the finance committee could be tried for treason with disastrous consequences...hence I believe that they never wrote anything down for security reasons. Talking among themselves leaves no paper trail. And in those days, memories were better than they are today. By the time these coins were made, we had already declared our independence. So to my way of thinking about this emission, the minting of this coin served more to tell the world that we are a sovereign nation than as a circulating piece which I don't believe they were intended for. Of course some circulated, but the percentage of uncirculated coins to the total number of pieces known is greater than any other pre-federal coinage with the possible exception of Fugios and Virginia halfpennies (both of which had special circumstances). And why such a high surviving number of uncirculated and near uncirculated pieces?...most went abroad and never circulated.

Eric, just my thoughts, as this coinage has haunted me ever since I bought my first and only piece back in 1992., a virtual uncirculated piece.

Again Eric, I am honored to know you and present to you in October these silver medal C4 book awards.

Looking forward to hearing from you.

All the best,

Jim Rosen

President of C4

[REDACTED] <[REDACTED]>
To: Jim Rosen <[REDACTED]>
Cc: Maureen Levine <[REDACTED]>

Tue, Sep 2, 2014 at 2:04 PM

Dear Jim:

I appreciate your comments of 8/19/2014, particularly that of the July 1, 2014 article in the Numismatist written jointing by Maureen Levine and myself.

As to the C4 Book Awards you plan to present to me this fall, I am most grateful.

May the office of the Presidency of C4 continue to be a great satisfaction to you and to the Numismatic public.

My best,
Eric

From: [Jim Rosen](#)

Sent: Tuesday, August 19, 2014 9:54 PM

To: [REDACTED]

Cc: [REDACTED]

[Quoted text hidden]

Jim Rosen <[REDACTED]>
To: [REDACTED]
Cc: [REDACTED]

Tue, Sep 2, 2014 at 4:02 PM

Thanks Eric,

I appreciate your response and it will be my pleasure to send you the medals you so rightly deserve. I truly enjoy our club, we have a wonderful membership with great camaraderie and we have been fortunate as a club to have contributed to the research and knowledge of Pre-Federal coinage via our newsletter and the publishing of 6 books. I truly wish you could be with us this coming October when we have our first co-sponsored COAC with the ANS at our annual C4 convention. Please do not hesitate to email me if you have any questions or concerns about our club or its direction.

My best to your wife.

All the best,

Jim

From: [REDACTED] [mailto:[REDACTED]]
Sent: Wednesday, August 27, 2014 1:18 PM
To: Jim Rosen
Cc: Maureen Levine
Subject: Re: A book award from C4

[Quoted text hidden]



Eric Newman <[REDACTED]>

Fwd: RE: A book award from C4

1 message

Eric Newman <[REDACTED]>

Tue, Sep 2, 2014 at 8:05 PM

To: Print <[REDACTED]>

<div>----- Original message -----</div><div>From: Jim Rosen <[REDACTED]> </div>
<div>Date:09/02/2014 3:02 PM (GMT-06:00) </div><div>To: [REDACTED] </div><div>Cc: [REDACTED]
</div><div>Subject: RE: A book award from C4 </div><div>
</div>

Thanks Eric,

I appreciate your response and it will be my pleasure to send you the medals you so rightly deserve. I truly enjoy our club, we have a wonderful membership with great camaraderie and we have been fortunate as a club to have contributed to the research and knowledge of Pre-Federal coinage via our newsletter and the publishing of 6 books. I truly wish you could be with us this coming October when we have our first co-sponsored COAC with the ANS at our annual C4 convention. Please do not hesitate to email me if you have any questions or concerns about our club or its direction.

My best to your wife.

All the best,

Jim

From: [REDACTED] [mailto:[REDACTED]]
Sent: Wednesday, August 27, 2014 1:18 PM
To: Jim Rosen
Cc: Maureen Levine
Subject: Re: A book award from C4

Dear Jim:

I appreciate your comments of 8/19/2014, particularly that of the July 1, 2014 article in the Numismatist written jointly by Maureen Levine and myself.

As to the C4 Book Awards you plan to present to me this fall, I am most grateful.

May the office of the Presidency of C4 continue to be a great satisfaction to you and to the Numismatic public.

My best,

Eric

From: Jim Rosen

Sent: Tuesday, August 19, 2014 9:54 PM

To: [REDACTED]

Cc: [REDACTED]

Hi Eric,

Not sure if you remember me, my name is Jim Rosen and I am president of C4. First off, I want to tell you that you have been chosen to receive two C4 Book Awards for your books on Fugio Coppers and Colonial Currency. They are going to be given at the C4 Convention Thursday night Oct. 30th. I will accept them for you and mail them to your home. The awards are Silver medals (the size of a silver dollar) engraved on the obverse with the Vermont Landscape that is the logo on our Newsletter and on the reverse will be engraved your name and the book titles.

I hope you liked my Presidential Message in this current Newsletter, as I took the liberty to discuss your collection. I feel very lucky to not only have met you and talked with you at length when you came to Boston to speak at C4 (remember, you took my hand and placed the 1776 Small date in my hand after I told you that I loved your 1957 article about it and the Interlocked Vermonts). A fabulous article that is a must read for all colonial enthusiasts. Also, I feel so lucky to have viewed your colonial coins at the May Heritage sale but also so lucky to have purchased your Large Head Nova Eborac. I am looking forward to your sale in November.

I also enjoyed greatly your article on the Continental Currency in the Numismatist this past July. I agree with your thoughts that the Continental Currency coin was minted in part to be a symbol of our independence. In John Adams notebook in the of spring 1776 he lists a number of things that needed to be accomplished in the Continental Congress and in the 6th entry he lists..."Coin and currency to be regulated", but I don't feel that Congress intended to replace the Continental Currency Dollar bill with this coinage. I feel that these coins were not intended to be regular coinage because their production was way too complicated, much too difficult as compared to production of paper currency, for making a design, producing and cutting the dies and striking coins was an expensive proposition at a time when our colonial resources were stretched very thin. It is certainly possible that when the currency printers saw this coin, they probably felt that printing \$1 bills would not be necessary. I believe that this beautiful coin was made for a specific reason and I don't think it was intended for circulation by the populace which may not have accepted them anyway (The English monarch, Henry VII, minted Testoons in 1499 that were not accepted by the citizens of England and it wasn't till Henry VIII, some 40 years later, that the shilling was accepted). I think that the Silver emissions were initially struck for foreign dignitaries to tell the world that we mean business and that we are a sovereign nation and we deserve to be independent. But as silver was scarce and brass was needed for the war effort, pewter was put into service and delivered to dignitaries, with some obviously getting into circulation possibly by congressman. Pewter canteens needed for the war effort probably put an end to this coin's continued production.

In thinking about why there is no written evidence of this coinage, I feel that probably no written documentation ever really existed. A discussion in the finance committee about the production of this coinage was tantamount to treason and since these thoughts were well before our "Declaration" (Spring of 76 per John Adams' notebook) if some written material with names on it was ever confiscated by the British, these members of the finance committee could be tried for treason with disastrous consequences...hence I believe that they never wrote anything down for security reasons. Talking among themselves leaves no paper trail. And in those days, memories were better than they are today. By the time these coins were made, we had already declared our independence. So to my way of thinking about this emission, the minting of this coin served more to tell the world that we are a sovereign nation than as a circulating piece which I don't believe they were intended for. Of course some circulated, but the percentage of uncirculated coins to the total number of pieces known is greater than any other pre-federal coinage with the possible exception of Fugios and Virginia halfpennies (both of which had special circumstances). And why such a high surviving number of uncirculated and near uncirculated pieces?...most went abroad and never circulated.

Eric, just my thoughts, as this coinage has haunted me ever since I bought my first and only piece back in 1992., a virtual uncirculated piece.

Again Eric, I am honored to know you and present to you in October these silver medal C4 book awards.

Looking forward to hearing from you.

All the best,

Jim Rosen

President of C4

Thanks Eric,

I appreciate your response and it will be my pleasure to send you the medals you so rightly deserve. I truly enjoy our club, we have a wonderful membership with great camaraderie and we have been fortunate as a club to have contributed to the research and knowledge of Pre-Federal coinage via our newsletter and the publishing of 6 books. I truly wish you could be with us this coming October when we have our first co-sponsored COAC with the ANS at our annual C4 convention. Please do not hesitate to email me if you have any questions or concerns about our club or its direction.

My best to your wife.

All the best,

Jim

From: [REDACTED] [mailto:[REDACTED]]
Sent: Wednesday, August 27, 2014 1:18 PM
To: Jim Rosen
Cc: Maureen Levine
Subject: Re: A book award from C4

Dear Jim:

I appreciate your comments of 8/19/2014, particularly that of the July 1, 2014 article in the Numismatist written jointing by Maureen Levine and myself.

As to the C4 Book Awards you plan to present to me this fall, I am most grateful.

May the office of the Presidency of C4 continue to be a great satisfaction to you and to the Numismatic public.

My best,

Eric

From: Jim Rosen
Sent: Tuesday, August 19, 2014 9:54 PM
To: [REDACTED]
Cc: [REDACTED]

Hi Eric,

Not sure if you remember me, my name is Jim Rosen and I am president of C4. First off, I want to tell you that you have been chosen to receive two C4 Book Awards for your books on Fugio Coppers and Colonial Currency. They are going to be given at the C4 Convention Thursday night Oct. 30th. I will accept them for you and mail them to your home. The awards are Silver medals (the size of a silver dollar) engraved on the obverse with the Vermont Landscape that is the logo on our Newsletter and on the reverse will be engraved your name and the book titles.

I hope you liked my Presidential Message in this current Newsletter, as I took the liberty to discuss your collection. I feel very lucky to not only have met you and talked with you at length when you came to Boston to speak at C4 (remember, you took my hand and placed the 1776 Small date in my hand after I told you that I loved your 1957 article about it and the Interlocked Vermonts). A fabulous article that is a must read for all colonial enthusiasts. Also, I feel so lucky to have viewed your colonial coins at the May Heritage sale but also so lucky to have purchased your Large Head Nova Eborac. I

am looking forward to your sale in November.

I also enjoyed greatly your article on the Continental Currency in the Numismatist this past July. I agree with your thoughts that the Continental Currency coin was minted in part to be a symbol of our independence. In John Adams notebook in the of spring 1776 he lists a number of things that needed to be accomplished in the Continental Congress and in the 6th entry he lists..."Coin and currency to be regulated", but I don't feel that Congress intended to replace the Continental Currency Dollar bill with this coinage. I feel that these coins were not intended to be regular coinage because their production was way too complicated, much too difficult as compared to production of paper currency, for making a design, producing and cutting the dies and striking coins was an expensive proposition at a time when our colonial resources were stretched very thin. It is certainly possible that when the currency printers saw this coin, they probably felt that printing \$1 bills would not be necessary. I believe that this beautiful coin was made for a specific reason and I don't think it was intended for circulation by the populace which may not have accepted them anyway (The English monarch, Henry VII, minted Testoons in 1499 that were not accepted by the citizens of England and it wasn't till Henry VIII, some 40 years later, that the shilling was accepted). I think that the Silver emissions were initially struck for foreign dignitaries to tell the world that we mean business and that we are a sovereign nation and we deserve to be independent. But as silver was scarce and brass was needed for the war effort, pewter was put into service and delivered to dignitaries, with some obviously getting into circulation possibly by congressman. Pewter canteens needed for the war effort probably put an end to this coin's continued production.

In thinking about why there is no written evidence of this coinage, I feel that probably no written documentation ever really existed. A discussion in the finance committee about the production of this coinage was tantamount to treason and since these thoughts were well before our "Declaration" (Spring of 76 per John Adams' notebook) if some written material with names on it was ever confiscated by the British, these members of the finance committee could be tried for treason with disastrous consequences...hence I believe that they never wrote anything down for security reasons. Talking among themselves leaves no paper trail. And in those days, memories were better than they are today. By the time these coins were made, we had already declared our independence. So to my way of thinking about this emission, the minting of this coin served more to tell the world that we are a sovereign nation than as a circulating piece which I don't believe they were intended for. Of course some circulated, but the percentage of uncirculated coins to the total number of pieces known is greater than any other pre-federal coinage with the possible exception of Fugios and Virginia halfpennies (both of which had special circumstances). And why such a high surviving number of uncirculated and near uncirculated pieces?...most went abroad and never circulated.

Eric, just my thoughts, as this coinage has haunted me ever since I bought my first and only piece back in 1992., a virtual uncirculated piece.

Again Eric, I am honored to know you and present to you in October these silver medal C4 book awards. Looking forward to hearing from you.

All the best,
Jim Rosen
President of C4



Eric Newman <[REDACTED]>

Fwd: RE: A book award from C4

1 message

Eric Newman <[REDACTED]>

Tue, Sep 2, 2014 at 9:05 PM

To: Print <[REDACTED]>

<div>----- Original message -----</div><div>From: Jim Rosen <[REDACTED]> </div>
<div>Date:09/02/2014 3:02 PM (GMT-06:00) </div><div>To: [REDACTED] </div><div>Cc: [REDACTED]
</div><div>Subject: RE: A book award from C4 </div><div>
</div>

Thanks Eric,

I appreciate your response and it will be my pleasure to send you the medals you so rightly deserve. I truly enjoy our club, we have a wonderful membership with great camaraderie and we have been fortunate as a club to have contributed to the research and knowledge of Pre-Federal coinage via our newsletter and the publishing of 6 books. I truly wish you could be with us this coming October when we have our first co-sponsored COAC with the ANS at our annual C4 convention. Please do not hesitate to email me if you have any questions or concerns about our club or its direction.

My best to your wife.

All the best,

Jim

From: [REDACTED] [mailto:[REDACTED]]
Sent: Wednesday, August 27, 2014 1:18 PM
To: Jim Rosen
Cc: Maureen Levine
Subject: Re: A book award from C4

Dear Jim:

I appreciate your comments of 8/19/2014, particularly that of the July 1, 2014 article in the Numismatist written jointly by Maureen Levine and myself.

As to the C4 Book Awards you plan to present to me this fall, I am most grateful.

May the office of the Presidency of C4 continue to be a great satisfaction to you and to the Numismatic public.

My best,

Eric

From: Jim Rosen

Sent: Tuesday, August 19, 2014 9:54 PM

To: [REDACTED]

Cc: [REDACTED]

Hi Eric,

Not sure if you remember me, my name is Jim Rosen and I am president of C4. First off, I want to tell you that you have been chosen to receive two C4 Book Awards for your books on Fugio Coppers and Colonial Currency. They are going to be given at the C4 Convention Thursday night Oct. 30th. I will accept them for you and mail them to your home. The awards are Silver medals (the size of a silver dollar) engraved on the obverse with the Vermont Landscape that is the logo on our Newsletter and on the reverse will be engraved your name and the book titles.

I hope you liked my Presidential Message in this current Newsletter, as I took the liberty to discuss your collection. I feel very lucky to not only have met you and talked with you at length when you came to Boston to speak at C4 (remember, you took my hand and placed the 1776 Small date in my hand after I told you that I loved your 1957 article about it and the Interlocked Vermonts). A fabulous article that is a must read for all colonial enthusiasts. Also, I feel so lucky to have viewed your colonial coins at the May Heritage sale but also so lucky to have purchased your Large Head Nova Eborac. I am looking forward to your sale in November.

I also enjoyed greatly your article on the Continental Currency in the Numismatist this past July. I agree with your thoughts that the Continental Currency coin was minted in part to be a symbol of our independence. In John Adams notebook in the of spring 1776 he lists a number of things that needed to be accomplished in the Continental Congress and in the 6th entry he lists..."Coin and currency to be regulated", but I don't feel that Congress intended to replace the Continental Currency Dollar bill with this coinage. I feel that these coins were not intended to be regular coinage because their production was way too complicated, much too difficult as compared to production of paper currency, for making a design, producing and cutting the dies and striking coins was an expensive proposition at a time when our colonial resources were stretched very thin. It is certainly possible that when the currency printers saw this coin, they probably felt that printing \$1 bills would not be necessary. I believe that this beautiful coin was made for a specific reason and I don't think it was intended for circulation by the populace which may not have accepted them anyway (The English monarch, Henry VII, minted Testoons in 1499 that were not accepted by the citizens of England and it wasn't till Henry VIII, some 40 years later, that the shilling was accepted). I think that the Silver emissions were initially struck for foreign dignitaries to tell the world that we mean business and that we are a sovereign nation and we deserve to be independent. But as silver was scarce and brass was needed for the war effort, pewter was put into service and delivered to dignitaries, with some obviously getting into circulation possibly by congressman. Pewter canteens needed for the war effort probably put an end to this coin's continued production.

In thinking about why there is no written evidence of this coinage, I feel that probably no written documentation ever really existed. A discussion in the finance committee about the production of this coinage was tantamount to treason and since these thoughts were well before our "Declaration" (Spring of 76 per John Adams' notebook) if some written material with names on it was ever confiscated by the British, these members of the finance committee could be tried for treason with disastrous consequences...hence I believe that they never wrote anything down for security reasons. Talking among themselves leaves no paper trail. And in those days, memories were better than they are today. By the time these coins were made, we had already declared our independence. So to my way of thinking about this emission, the minting of this coin served more to tell the world that we are a sovereign nation than as a circulating piece which I don't believe they were intended for. Of course some circulated, but the percentage of uncirculated coins to the total number of pieces known is greater than any other pre-federal coinage with the possible exception of Fugios and Virginia halfpennies (both of which had special circumstances). And why such a high surviving number of uncirculated and near uncirculated pieces?...most went abroad and never circulated.

Eric, just my thoughts, as this coinage has haunted me ever since I bought my first and only piece back in 1992., a virtual uncirculated piece.

Again Eric, I am honored to know you and present to you in October these silver medal C4 book awards.

Looking forward to hearing from you.

All the best,

Jim Rosen

President of C4

Thanks Eric,

I appreciate your response and it will be my pleasure to send you the medals you so rightly deserve. I truly enjoy our club, we have a wonderful membership with great camaraderie and we have been fortunate as a club to have contributed to the research and knowledge of Pre-Federal coinage via our newsletter and the publishing of 6 books. I truly wish you could be with us this coming October when we have our first co-sponsored COAC with the ANS at our annual C4 convention. Please do not hesitate to email me if you have any questions or concerns about our club or its direction.

My best to your wife.

All the best,

Jim

From: [REDACTED] [mailto:[REDACTED]]
Sent: Wednesday, August 27, 2014 1:18 PM
To: Jim Rosen
Cc: Maureen Levine
Subject: Re: A book award from C4

Dear Jim:

I appreciate your comments of 8/19/2014, particularly that of the July 1, 2014 article in the Numismatist written jointing by Maureen Levine and myself.

As to the C4 Book Awards you plan to present to me this fall, I am most grateful.

May the office of the Presidency of C4 continue to be a great satisfaction to you and to the Numismatic public.

My best,

Eric

From: Jim Rosen
Sent: Tuesday, August 19, 2014 9:54 PM
To: [REDACTED]
Cc: [REDACTED]

Hi Eric,

Not sure if you remember me, my name is Jim Rosen and I am president of C4. First off, I want to tell you that you have been chosen to receive two C4 Book Awards for your books on Fugio Coppers and Colonial Currency. They are going to be given at the C4 Convention Thursday night Oct. 30th. I will accept them for you and mail them to your home. The awards are Silver medals (the size of a silver dollar) engraved on the obverse with the Vermont Landscape that is the logo on our Newsletter and on the reverse will be engraved your name and the book titles.

I hope you liked my Presidential Message in this current Newsletter, as I took the liberty to discuss your collection. I feel very lucky to not only have met you and talked with you at length when you came to Boston to speak at C4 (remember, you took my hand and placed the 1776 Small date in my hand after I told you that I loved your 1957 article about it and the Interlocked Vermonts). A fabulous article that is a must read for all colonial enthusiasts. Also, I feel so lucky to have viewed your colonial coins at the May Heritage sale but also so lucky to have purchased your Large Head Nova Eborac. I

am looking forward to your sale in November.

I also enjoyed greatly your article on the Continental Currency in the Numismatist this past July. I agree with your thoughts that the Continental Currency coin was minted in part to be a symbol of our independence. In John Adams notebook in the of spring 1776 he lists a number of things that needed to be accomplished in the Continental Congress and in the 6th entry he lists..."Coin and currency to be regulated", but I don't feel that Congress intended to replace the Continental Currency Dollar bill with this coinage. I feel that these coins were not intended to be regular coinage because their production was way too complicated, much too difficult as compared to production of paper currency, for making a design, producing and cutting the dies and striking coins was an expensive proposition at a time when our colonial resources were stretched very thin. It is certainly possible that when the currency printers saw this coin, they probably felt that printing \$1 bills would not be necessary. I believe that this beautiful coin was made for a specific reason and I don't think it was intended for circulation by the populace which may not have accepted them anyway (The English monarch, Henry VII, minted Testoons in 1499 that were not accepted by the citizens of England and it wasn't till Henry VIII, some 40 years later, that the shilling was accepted). I think that the Silver emissions were initially struck for foreign dignitaries to tell the world that we mean business and that we are a sovereign nation and we deserve to be independent. But as silver was scarce and brass was needed for the war effort, pewter was put into service and delivered to dignitaries, with some obviously getting into circulation possibly by congressman. Pewter canteens needed for the war effort probably put an end to this coin's continued production.

In thinking about why there is no written evidence of this coinage, I feel that probably no written documentation ever really existed. A discussion in the finance committee about the production of this coinage was tantamount to treason and since these thoughts were well before our "Declaration" (Spring of 76 per John Adams' notebook) if some written material with names on it was ever confiscated by the British, these members of the finance committee could be tried for treason with disastrous consequences...hence I believe that they never wrote anything down for security reasons. Talking among themselves leaves no paper trail. And in those days, memories were better than they are today. By the time these coins were made, we had already declared our independence. So to my way of thinking about this emission, the minting of this coin served more to tell the world that we are a sovereign nation than as a circulating piece which I don't believe they were intended for. Of course some circulated, but the percentage of uncirculated coins to the total number of pieces known is greater than any other pre-federal coinage with the possible exception of Fugios and Virginia halfpennies (both of which had special circumstances). And why such a high surviving number of uncirculated and near uncirculated pieces?...most went abroad and never circulated.

Eric, just my thoughts, as this coinage has haunted me ever since I bought my first and only piece back in 1992., a virtual uncirculated piece.

Again Eric, I am honored to know you and present to you in October these silver medal C4 book awards. Looking forward to hearing from you.

All the best,
Jim Rosen
President of C4